

This information is available free of charge in electronic, audio, Braille and large print versions, on request.

For assistance in understanding or reading this document or specific information about this Agenda or on the "Public Participation" initiative please call the Committee Team on 01629 761133 or email

committee@derbyshiredales.gov.uk

## **Local Plan Sub-Committee**

Minutes of a Local Plan Sub-Committee meeting held at 6.00 pm on Thursday, 1st February, 2024 in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, Matlock, DE4 3NN.

PRESENT Councillor Peter O'Brien - In the Chair

Councillors: Matt Buckler, Martin Burfoot, Nigel Norman Edwards-Walker, Gareth Gee, David Hughes, Lucy Peacock and Roger

Shelley

Steve Capes (Director of Regeneration & Policy), Mike Hase (Policy Manager) and Tommy Shaw (Democratic Services Team Leader)

## Note:

"Opinions expressed or statements made by individual persons during the public participation part of a Council or committee meeting are not the opinions or statements of Derbyshire Dales District Council. These comments are made by individuals who have exercised the provisions of the Council's Constitution to address a specific meeting. The Council therefore accepts no liability for any defamatory remarks that are made during a meeting that are replicated on this document."

### **APOLOGIES**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor(s): Robert Archer and Susan Hobson

### 308/23 - APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

It was moved by Councillor Matt Buckler, Seconded by Councillor Nigel Edwards-Walker and

#### RESOLVED

That the minutes of the meeting of the Local Plan Sub-Committee held on 20 December 2023 be approved as a correct record.

#### Voting

07 For 00 Against 01 Abstentions The Chair declared the motion **CARRIED**.

### 309/23 - PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

# STATEMENT from CIIr Vicki Raynes, Tansley Local Resident

Dear Councillors,

The Progressive Alliance has a commitment to supporting Rural Villages across the Plan Area. Tansley is considered a Rural Village (Tansley PC requested this designation, which Mr Hase will tell you was agreed by DDDC)

During the last round of Local Plan allocations Tansley was required to take almost 100 new homes, a huge increase for our village. Some of these new homes are occupied others still being built.

It has not been a good experience for the village, as development has taken place without any thought for infra structure, we have on going problems with drainage, and our narrow roads have difficulty accommodating the additional traffic and parked vehicles.

We are not aware of any junction improvements (as per the 2017 Plan) to ensure our journey to essential services in Matlock are accessed with more ease,

We are not aware that medical facilities have increased in size to accommodate this additional building .

We are not aware that there has been an improved public transport facility, and we are still waiting for a much needed pedestrian crossing on the A615 ... so what improvements has additional development brought to our village?

We have received 106 money to up grade our recreation facilities but frankly these are small amounts - when compared to vital infrastructure improvements which are needed.

There is no assessment of the cumulative impact of development on a settlement - no reference to other developments in the area which might impact upon the settled community and limited facilities.

Development has taken place in our village prior to planning permission being granted and Conditions are often ignored.

DDDC are now in the process of deciding where additional development will take place, when deciding locations for development might it be fair and democratic to look at areas that have not had any allocations?

Maybe other settlements need to experience the apparent negligence of the planning system at DDDC, the lack of enforcement and the perceived view that the large developer can do as they please, as long as DDDC get their quota.

It is noted which Parishes were allocated development, it is also noted which Parishes had no allocation.

Maybe its time to treat all settlements fairly? It is noted that there was land available in many settlements however political pressure ensured these areas remained green!

Regards,

Vicki Raynes

#### **310/23 - INTERESTS**

There were no declarations of interest.

### 311/23 - PROPOSED OUTLINE FOR LOCAL PLAN PUBLIC CONSULTATION

The Policy Manager introduced a report providing Members with a suggested outline of the nature of the first round of public consultation on the review of the Derbyshire Dales Local Plan.

It was noted that at a meeting of the Sub-Committee on 27 September 2023, Members considered and approved a revised Local Development Scheme for the Period 2023-2026.

It was also noted that in considering the contents of the Local Development Scheme previously approved by this Sub-Committee, Members agreed that the approach taken to public consultation would be as set out in section 1.2 of the report. The approved Local Development Scheme envisaged two periods of public consultation commencing in March 2024, and Autumn 2024.

The purpose of this report was to set out a suggested outline of the approach for the first round of public consultation scheduled for March 2024. This was detailed within section 2 of the report.

It was moved by Councillor Martin Burfoot, seconded by Councillor Matt Buckler and

### **RESOLVED** (unanimously)

- 1. That the outline proposals for public consultation set out in Section 2 of the report be approved, taking into account the following suggestions for implementation into the consultation process:
  - That the reasons why proposals are being put forward are made clear to residents and that the benefits of proposals are emphasised.
  - That communities are asked what infrastructure they feel would be beneficial to their area and complementary to proposed development (both social and grey/green).
  - That residents are asked what types of housing they feel would be important to improve the sustainability of their communities.
  - That residents are asked to help identify potential sites within their areas, should they be prepared to contribute.
  - That consultation be undertaken with organisations such as the County Council and Severn Trent to assist in identifying any potential difficulties with the development of proposed sites.
  - That the settlement hierarchy document be concentrated into a presentable and easy to understand format that can be distributed to residents.
- 2. That a report be presented to the next meeting of this Sub-Committee which sets out for approval the precise details of the forthcoming public consultation.

The Chair declared the motion CARRIED.

Meeting Closed: 7.30 pm

Chair